

August 18, 2006

Mr. Mark Riggle
Project Manager
Voluntary Cleanup Section
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Mail Code 221
12118 North IH 35, Building D
Austin, Texas 78753

Project No. 0048422

Subject: Third Quarter 2006 Monitoring Data Transmittal
Former Cameron Iron Works Facility, Houston, Texas
VCP No. 221

Dear Mr. Riggle:

On behalf of Cameron International Corporation (Cameron), Environmental Resources Management (ERM) is providing this transmittal of ground water data collected on July 18, 2006 for your records. A total of three monitor well locations (MW-70, MW-93, and MW-125) were analyzed for the site-specific constituents of concern (COCs). These sample locations are located in the residential neighborhood south of the Former Cameron Iron Works Facility (the facility). This quarterly sampling event was performed at these locations because the results for April 2006 exceeded the following trigger levels:

- the method quantitation limits (MQLs) of 1,1-dichloroethene and cis-1,2-dichloroethene at MW-70; and
- the critical protective concentration level (PCL) for tetrachloroethene at MW-93 and MW-125.

The following discussion presents the results from the July 18, 2006 sampling event for each location and a recommendation for the appropriate course of action.

MW-70

The reported concentrations at MW-70 for 1,1-dichloroethane, 1,1-dichloroethene, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, and trichloroethene were 0.011 mg/L, 0.0029 mg/L, 0.0063 mg/L, and 0.0012 mg/L, respectively. The reported concentrations for the COCs do not exceed the critical PCLs. 1,1-dichloroethene and trichloroethene have estimated concentrations (indicated by a J qualifier in the laboratory report (Attachment 3) below MQLs of 0.0050 mg/L. The reported concentrations for 1,1-dichloroethane and cis-1,2-dichloroethene at MW-70 are above the MQL

of 0.0050 mg/L (Attachment 1, Table 1). The reported concentrations at MW-70 have been stable or decreasing over time.

MW-70 is located approximately 200 feet south of the I-10 feeder road on Buckingham Drive. It is possible that the variation in reported concentrations is related to dewatering activities related to the expansion of Interstate 10 and their subsequent effects on ground water flow. Construction will continue for sometime. Therefore, Cameron will continue quarterly monitoring for the chemicals of concern (COCs) at MW-70 and evaluate potential trends in concentration. The next sampling events would be completed in October 2006 and January 2007.

MW-93

The constituents analyzed in the ground water sample collected at MW-93 were reported as *Not Detected* above the MQLs with one exception. Tetrachloroethene had a reported concentration of 0.10 mg/L (Attachment 1, Table 1). This concentration is in exceedance of the 0.0050 mg/L critical PCL for tetrachloroethene. The reported concentrations at MW-93 have been stable or increasing over time.

As a response action, Cameron initially injected a chemical oxidant (potassium permanganate) in the vicinity (six injection wells) of MW-93, on September 21, 2005, to address the elevated concentration of tetrachloroethene. During the July 2006 sampling event, potassium permanganate appeared to be present in the injection wells. Oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) measurements have been collected from the injection wells (IW-47 through IW-52) in the vicinity of MW-93 and monitor wells (MW-93 and MW-125) since the initial injection in September 2005. The ORP data are provided as Figure 1 of Attachment 2. A review of the ORP data indicates the presence of potassium permanganate in three of the injection wells (IW-47, IW-48, and IW-49). Prior to the Second Half 2006 monitoring event scheduled for October 2006, Cameron proposes to inject 220 pounds of potassium permanganate at an approximately 1.5% solution into the four injection wells (IW-49, IW-50, IW-51, and IW-52) where the potassium permanganate appears to have been consumed. In addition, Cameron will continue quarterly monitoring for tetrachloroethene at MW-93.

MW-125

The reported concentration at MW-125 for tetrachloroethene was 0.0050 mg/L (Attachment 1, Table 1). This concentration is the same as the critical PCL for tetrachloroethene and no exceedance was reported. The remaining constituents were reported as *Not Detected* above the MQLs. The reported concentrations at MW-125 have decreased from October 2005 to July 2006.

Cameron will continue quarterly monitoring for the COCs at MW-125 in October 2006 and January 2007. If no tetrachloroethene is detected during these two events, MW-125 will return to semiannual monitoring.

August 18, 2006
Mr. Mark Riggle
Page 3 of 3

Conclusion

Quarterly sampling will continue at these monitor wells and additional ground water treatment will occur at MW-93. As described in the past, the reported concentrations at MW-93 and MW-125 are not associated with a release from the facility. Despite this fact, Cameron will follow the plan outlined in the approved Response Action Plan by injecting potassium permanganate in the proposed injection wells indicated above.

Please contact Mr. Ted Fasting of Cameron International Corporation at (713) 513-3325 or me at (218) 600-1074 with any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Environmental Resources Management

Gregory J. Wheeler, P.G.

GJW/rjp
Attachments

cc: Ted Fasting, Cameron International Corporation
Bruce Himmelreich, Cameron International Corporation, (without attachments)
Marsha Hill, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Region X II
Paul Stefan, Environmental Resources Management (Houston)

Table
Attachment 1

August 18, 2006
Project No. 0048422

Environmental Resources Management
15810 Park Ten Place, Suite 300
Houston, Texas 77084
(281) 600-1000

TABLE 1

Summary of Monitor Well Ground Water Data
Third Quarter 2006 Monitoring Data Transmittal

Former Cameron Iron Works Facility
Houston, Texas

Constituent	MQL	Critical PCLs (a)	Location:	MW-70				MW-93				
			Depth: (b)	25				43				
			Date:	10/26/2005	1/17/2006	4/25/2006	7/18/2006	10/27/2005	11/22/2005	1/17/2006	4/26/2006	7/18/2006
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.0050	2.4		0.011	0.011	0.0079	0.011	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.0050	0.0070		0.0035 J	0.0032 J	ND (0.0050)	0.0029 J	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.0050	0.0050		ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.0050	0.070		0.0073	0.0065	0.0047 J	0.0063	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)
Tetrachloroethene	0.0050	0.0050		ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	0.079	0.090	0.087	0.14	0.10
Trichloroethene	0.0050	0.0050		0.0012 J	0.0011 J	ND (0.0050)	0.0012 J	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)
Vinyl Chloride	0.0020	0.0020		ND (0.0020)	ND (0.0020)	ND (0.0020)	ND (0.0020)	ND (0.0020)	ND (0.0020)	ND (0.0020)	ND (0.0020)	ND (0.0020)

Constituent	MQL	Critical PCLs (a)	Location:	MW-125				
			Depth: (b)	32				
			Date:	10/27/2005	11/22/2005	1/17/2006	4/26/2006	7/18/2006
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.0050	2.4		ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.0050	0.0070		ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.0050	0.0050		ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.0050	0.070		ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)
Tetrachloroethene	0.0050	0.0050		0.017	0.0063	0.0062	0.0061	0.0050
Trichloroethene	0.0050	0.0050		ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)	ND (0.0050)
Vinyl Chloride	0.0020	0.0020		ND (0.0020)	ND (0.0020)	ND (0.0020)	ND (0.0020)	ND (0.0020)

NOTES:

0.039 = exceedance of TCEQ Texas Risk Reduction Program (TRRP) Tier 1 Residential Class 2 Groundwater critical PCLs.

Bold values exceed the MQL

The reported concentrations are in mg/L.

ND (0.0050) = *Not Detected* above the method quantitation limit given in parentheses

MQL = Method Quantitation Limit.

(a) TCEQ Texas Risk Reduction Program (TRRP) Tier 1 Residential Class 2 Groundwater PCLs, 30-acre source area, Table 3, table for TRRP Rule dated March 31, 2006.

(b) The sample depths are reported in feet below ground surface.

J = Estimated data, the reported sample concentration is below the sample quantitation limit.

Figure
Attachment 2


August 18, 2006
Project No. 0048422

Environmental Resources Management
15810 Park Ten Place, Suite 300
Houston, Texas 77084
(281) 600-1000

Figure 1

Farish Circle ORP Data
Third Quarter 2006 Monitoring Data Transmittal

Former Cameron Iron Works Facility
Houston, Texas



Data Usability Summary and Laboratory Report
Attachment 3

August 18, 2006
Project No. 0048422

Environmental Resources Management
15810 Park Ten Place, Suite 300
Houston, Texas 77084
(281) 600-1000

Attachment 3

Data Usability Summary Third Quarter 2006 Monitoring Data Transmittal

Former Cameron Iron Works Facility
Houston, Texas

ERM reviewed a laboratory analytical data package (0607317) from e-Lab, Inc. of Houston, Texas for the analysis of ground water samples collected on July 18, 2006 at the Former Cameron Iron Works Facility. Data were reviewed to assess conformance with the requirements of the *Review and Reporting of COC Concentration Data* TRRP-13 (December 2002), and adherence to project data quality objectives.

Purpose of Sampling Event: Quarterly sampling event to monitor select VOCs downgradient of the facility.

The data generated were evaluated in terms of representativeness, precision and accuracy, completeness and comparability.

Analysis requested included:

SW-846 8260 – Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)

Data were reviewed and validated as described in the draft TRRP-13 Guidance Document and the results of the review/validation are discussed in this Data Usability Summary (DUS). The following laboratory submittals were reviewed by ERM:

- Analytical data report and chain-of-custody (COC);
- Laboratory review checklists (LRCs); and
- Associated exception reports (ERs).

The results of supporting quality control (QC) analyses are summarized on the LRCs and ERs, and both were included in this review. The LRCs, associated ERs, and analytical data report are included as attachments to this report.

Introduction

Four ground water samples including one duplicate ground water sample were analyzed for seven VOCs (1,1-dichloroethane, 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,2-dichloroethane, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene and vinyl chloride). One field blank was collected and analyzed for the constituents of concern listed above in association with the ground water samples. A trip blank, rinsate blank, and equipment blank were not provided to the laboratory for analysis. Table 1 lists the sample identifications cross-referenced to laboratory identifications.

Data Review/Validation Results

Analytical Results

Sample data is reported in mg/L for all samples. Non-detected results are reported as less than the value of the sample quantitation limit (SQL) as defined by the TRRP rule.

Preservation and Holding Times

The samples were evaluated for agreement with the chain-of-custody (COC). The samples were received in the appropriate containers and in good condition with the paperwork filled out properly. Sample receipt temperature was within the acceptance criteria of 4 +/- 2 degrees C for the coolers received by the laboratory. The samples were preserved in the field as specified in SW-846 Table 2-36. Samples were prepared and analyzed within holding times as specified in SW-846 Table 2-36.

Calibrations

According to the LRCs, initial calibration and continuing calibration data met SW-846 method requirements for VOC analysis. Also, the LRC documented satisfactory instrument performance calibrations for VOC analysis.

Blanks

VOCs were reported as not-detected in the method blanks.

The field blank (FB-071806) was reported as not-detected for VOCs.

Internal Standard and Surrogate Recoveries

Surrogate recoveries for VOC analysis were within the laboratory-defined acceptable ranges.

According to the LRC, internal standard areas were within SW-846 method acceptance criteria.

Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory Control Spikes (LCS) was analyzed for VOCs. The LCS recoveries were within laboratory defined acceptance limits.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates (MS/MSD) were analyzed for VOCs. The MS/MSD recoveries were within laboratory defined acceptance limits.

The VOC MS/MSD sample was not project related for batch R39830.

Field Precision

One field duplicate sample was collected during this sampling event (MW-93 / Dup-1). Sample MW-93 and field duplicate sample, Dup-1, were reported as detected for tetrachloroethene. The sample/duplicate precision comparison had a RPD less than the 20% acceptance criteria for tetrachloroethene; therefore, qualification was not necessary. Sample/duplicate precision calculations are included in Table 2.

Field Procedures

The samples were collected using documented sampling procedures.

SUMMARY

The data quality objectives and characteristics (i.e., representativeness, precision and accuracy, completeness, and comparability) for the project were met. Therefore, the ground water analytical data are useable for the purpose of providing current data on concentrations of chemicals of concern (COCs) in the ground water beneath and downgradient of the Former Cameron Iron Works Facility.

TABLE 1

Cross Reference Field Sample Identifications and Laboratory Identifications

Laboratory Package 0607317
Former Cameron Iron Works Facility

Field ID	Laboratory ID
MW-93	0607317-01
MW-125	0607317-02
FB-071806	0607317-03
MW-70	0607317-04
Dup-1	0607317-05

TABLE 2

Field Precision

Laboratory Package 0607317
Former Cameron Iron Works Facility

Field Identification	Analyte	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD	Qualified
MW-93/ DUP-1	Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.11	9.524	A

NOTES:

Results reported as mg/L
 $RPD = ((SR-DR)*200)/(SR+DR)$
A = Acceptable data



e-Lab Analytical, Inc.

10450 Stancliff Rd, Suite 210 Houston, Texas 77099-4338 281-530-5656 Fax 281-530-5887

July 20, 2006

Greg Wheeler
ERM - Southwest, Inc.
15810 Park Ten Place
Suite 300
Houston, TX 77084

Tel: (281) 600-1000
Fax: (281) 600-1001

Re: Former Cameron Iron Works

Work Order : **0607317**

Dear Greg Wheeler,

e-Lab Analytical, Inc. received 5 samples on 7/19/2006 9:45:00 AM for the analyses presented in the following report.

The analytical data provided relates directly to the samples received by e-Lab Analytical, Inc. and for only the analyses requested. Results are expressed as "as received" unless otherwise noted.

QC sample results for this data met EPA or laboratory specifications except as noted in the Case Narrative or as noted with qualifiers in the QC batch information. Should this laboratory report need to be reproduced, it should be reproduced in full unless written approval has been obtained by e-Lab Analytical, Inc. Samples will be disposed in 30 days unless storage arrangements are made.

The total number of pages in this report is 17.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

Lora Terrill

Electronically approved by: Patrina A. Dathorne

Lora Terrill
VP Lab Operations



Certificate No: LA 03087

Laboratory Data Package Cover Page

This data package consists of:

This signature page, the laboratory review checklist, and the following reportable data:

- R1 Field chain-of-custody documentation;
- R2 Sample identification cross-reference;
- R3 Test reports (analytical data sheets) for each environmental sample that includes:
 - a) Items consistent with NELAC 5.13 or ISO/IEC 17025 Section 5.10
 - b) dilution factors,
 - c) preparation methods,
 - d) cleanup methods, and
 - e) if required for the project, tentatively identified compounds (TICs).
- R4 Surrogate recovery data including:
 - a) Calculated recovery (%R), and
 - b) The laboratory's surrogate QC limits.
- R5 Test reports/summary forms for blank samples;
- R6 Test reports/summary forms for laboratory control samples (LCSs) including:
 - a) LCS spiking amounts,
 - b) Calculated %R for each analyte, and
 - c) The laboratory's LCS QC limits.
- R7 Test reports for project matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates (MS/MSDs) including:
 - a) Samples associated with the MS/MSD clearly identified,
 - b) MS/MSD spiking amounts,
 - c) Concentration of each MS/MSD analyte measured in the parent and spiked samples,
 - d) Calculated %Rs and relative percent differences (RPDs), and
 - e) The laboratory's MS/MSD QC limits
- R8 Laboratory analytical duplicate (if applicable) recovery and precision:
 - a) the amount of analyte measured in the duplicate,
 - b) the calculated RPD, and
 - c) the laboratory's QC limits for analytical duplicates.
- R9 List of method quantitation limits (MQLs) for each analyte for each method and matrix; ?
- R10 Other problems or anomalies.

The Exception Report for every "No" or "Not Reviewed (NR)" item in laboratory review checklist.

Release Statement: I am responsible for the release of this laboratory data package. This data package has been reviewed by the laboratory and is complete and technically compliant with the requirements of the methods used, except where noted by the laboratory in the attached exception reports. By my signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies, observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data, have been identified by the laboratory in the Laboratory Review Checklist, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.

Check, if applicable: [NA] This laboratory is an in-house laboratory controlled by the person responding to rule. The official signing the cover page of the rule-required report (for example, the APAR) in which these data are used is responsible for releasing this data package and is by signature affirming the above release statement is true.

e-Lab Analytical, Inc. Work Order Number: 0607317

Lora Terrill	<i>Lora Terrill</i>	V.P. Laboratory Operations	07/20/06
Name (Printed)	Signature	Official Title (printed)	Date

Laboratory Review Checklist: Reportable Data							
Laboratory Name: e-Lab Analytical, Inc.			LRC Date: 07/20/2006				
Project Name: Former Cameron Iron Works			Laboratory Job Number: 0607317				
Reviewer Name: Lora Terrill			Prep Batch Number(s): R39830				
# ¹	A ²	Description	Yes	No	NA ³	NR ⁴	ER# ⁵
R1	OI	CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY (C-O-C)					
		1) Did samples meet the laboratory's standard conditions of sample acceptability upon receipt?	X				
		2) Were all departures from standard conditions described in an exception report?	X				
R2	OI	SAMPLE AND QUALITY CONTROL (QC) IDENTIFICATION					
		1) Are all field sample ID numbers cross-referenced to the laboratory ID numbers?	X				
		2) Are all laboratory ID numbers cross-referenced to the corresponding QC data?	X				
R3	OI	TEST REPORTS					
		1) Were all samples prepared and analyzed within holding times?	X				
		2) Other than those results < MQL, were all other raw values bracketed by calibration standards?	X				
		3) Were calculations checked by a peer or supervisor?	X				
		4) Were all analyte identifications checked by a peer or supervisor?	X				
		5) Were sample quantitation limits reported for all analytes not detected?	X				
		6) Were all results for soil and sediment samples reported on a dry weight basis?			X		
		7) Was % moisture (or solids) reported for all soil and sediment samples?			X		
		8) If required for the project, TICs reported?			X		
R4	O	SURROGATE RECOVERY DATA					
		1) Were surrogates added prior to extraction?	X				
		2) Were surrogate percent recoveries in all samples within the laboratory QC limits?	X				
R5	OI	TEST REPORTS/SUMMARY FORMS FOR BLANK SAMPLES					
		1) Were appropriate type(s) of blanks analyzed?	X				
		2) Were blanks analyzed at the appropriate frequency?	X				
		3) Were method blanks taken through the entire analytical process, including preparation and, if applicable, cleanup procedures?	X				
		4) Were blank concentrations < MQL?	X				
R6	OI	LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES (LCS):					
		1) Were all COCs included in the LCS?	X				
		2) Was each LCS taken through the entire analytical procedure, including prep and cleanup steps?	X				
		3) Were LCSs analyzed at the required frequency?	X				
		4) Were LCS (and LCSD, if applicable) %Rs within the laboratory QC limits?	X				
		5) Does the detectability data document the laboratory's capability to detect the COCs at the MDL used to calculate the SQLs?	X				
		6) Was the LCSD RPD within QC limits?			X		
R7	OI	MATRIX SPIKE (MS) AND MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MSD) DATA					
		1) Were the project/method specified analytes included in the MS and MSD?	X				
		2) Were MS/MSD analyzed at the appropriate frequency?	X				
		3) Were MS (and MSD, if applicable) %Rs within the laboratory QC limits?	X				
		4) Were MS/MSD RPDs within laboratory QC limits?	X				
R8	OI	ANALYTICAL DUPLICATE DATA					
		1) Were appropriate analytical duplicates analyzed for each matrix?			X		
		2) Were analytical duplicates analyzed at the appropriate frequency?			X		
		3) Were RPDs or relative standard deviations within the laboratory QC limits?			X		
R9	OI	METHOD QUANTITATION LIMITS (MQLS):					
		1) Are the MQLs for each method analyte listed and included in the laboratory data package?	X				
		2) Do the MQLs correspond to the concentration of the lowest non-zero calibration standard?	X				
		3) Are unadjusted MQLs included in the laboratory data package?	X				
R10	OI	OTHER PROBLEMS/ANOMALIES					
		1) Are all known problems/anomalies/special conditions noted in this LRC and ER?	X				
		2) Were all necessary corrective actions performed for the reported data?	X				
		3) If requested, is the justification for elevated SQLs documented?	X				

1 Items identified by the letter "R" should be included in the laboratory data package submitted in o the TRRP-required report(s). Items identified by the letter "S" should be retained and made available upon request for the appropriate retention period.

2 O = organic analyses; I = inorganic analyses (and general chemistry, when applicable);

3 NA = Not applicable;

4 NR = Not Reviewed;

5 ER# = Exception Report identification number (an Exception Report should be completed for an item if "NR" or "No" is checked).

Laboratory Review Checklist: Supporting Data

Laboratory Name: e-lab Analytical, Inc			LRC Date: 07/20/2006				
Project Name: Former Cameron Iron Works			Laboratory Job Number: 0607317				
Reviewer Name: Lora Terrill			Prep Batch Number(s): R39830				
# ¹	A ²	Description	Yes	No	NA ³	NR ⁴	ER# ⁵
S1	OI	INITIAL CALIBRATION (ICAL)					
		1) Were response factors (RFs) and/or relative response factors (RRFs) for each analyte within the QC limits?	X				
		2) Were percent RSDs or correlation coefficient criteria met?	X				
		3) Was the number of standards recommended in the method used for all analytes?	X				
		4) Were all points generated between the lowest and highest standard used to calculate the curve?	X				
		5) Are ICAL data available for all instruments used?	X				
		6) Has the initial calibration curve been verified using an appropriate second source standard?	X				
S2	OI	INITIAL AND CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION (ICCV AND CCV) AND					
		1) Was the CCV analyzed at the method-required frequency?	X				
		2) Were percent differences for each analyte within the method-required QC limits?	X				
		3) Was the ICAL curve verified for each analyte?	X				
		4) Was the absolute value of the analyte concentration in the inorganic CCB < MDL?			X		
S3	O	MASS SPECTRAL TUNING:					
		1) Was the appropriate compound for the method used for tuning?	X				
		2) Were ion abundance data within the method-required QC limits?	X				
S4	O	INTERNAL STANDARDS (IS):					
		Were IS area counts and retention times within the method-required QC limits?	X				
S5	OI	RAW DATA (NELAC SECTION 1 APPENDIX A GLOSSARY, AND SECTION 5.12 OR					
		1) Were the raw data (e.g., chromatograms, spectral data) reviewed by an analyst?	X				
		2) Were data associated with manual integrations flagged on the raw data?	X				
S6	O	DUAL COLUMN CONFIRMATION					
		Did dual column confirmation results meet the method-required QC?			X		
S7	O	TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS):					
		If TICs were requested, were the mass spectra and TIC data subject to appropriate checks?			X		
S8	I	INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS) RESULTS:					
		Were percent recoveries within method QC limits?			X		
S9	I	SERIAL DILUTIONS, POST DIGESTION SPIKES, AND METHOD OF STANDARD					
		Were percent differences, recoveries, and the linearity within the QC limits specified in the method?			X		
S10	OI	PROFICIENCY TEST REPORTS:					
		Are proficiency testing or inter-laboratory comparison results on file?	X				
S11	OI	METHOD DETECTION LIMIT (MDL) STUDIES					
		1) Was a MDL study performed for each reported analyte?	X				
		2) Is the MDL either adjusted or supported by the analysis of DCSs?	X				
S12	OI	STANDARDS DOCUMENTATION					
		Are all standards used in the analyses NIST-traceable or obtained from other appropriate sources?	X				
S13	OI	COMPOUND/ANALYTE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES					
		Are the procedures for compound/analyte identification documented?	X				
S14	OI	DEMONSTRATION OF ANALYST COMPETENCY (DOC)					
		1) Was DOC conducted consistent with NELAC 5C or ISO/IEC 4.2.2?	X				
		2) Is documentation of the analyst's competency up-to-date and on file?	X				
S15	OI	VERIFICATION/VALIDATION DOCUMENTATION FOR METHODS					
		Are all the methods used to generate the data documented, verified, and validated, where applicable, (NELAC 5.10.2 or ISO/IEC 17025 Section 5.4.5)?	X				
S16	OI	LABORATORY STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOPS):					
		Are laboratory SOPs current and on file for each method performed?	X				

- 1 Items identified by the letter "R" should be included in the laboratory data package submitted to the TCEQ in the TRRP-required report(s). Items identified by the letter "S" should be retained and made available upon request for the appropriate retention period.
- 2 O = organic analyses; I = inorganic analyses (and general chemistry, when applicable).
- 3 NA = Not applicable.
- 4 NR = Not Reviewed.
- 5 ER# = Exception Report identification number (an Exception Report should be completed for an item if "NR" or "No" is checked).

Laboratory Review Checklist: Exception Report	
Laboratory Name: e-Lab Analytical, Inc.	LRC Date: 07/20/2006
Project Name: Former Cameron Iron Works	Laboratory Job Number: 0607317
Reviewer Name: Lora Terrill	Prep Batch Number(s): R39830
ER #¹	DESCRIPTION
	No Exceptions.

- 1 ER# = Exception Report identification number (an Exception Report should be completed for an item if “NR” or “No” is checked on the LRC)

CLIENT: ERM Southwest, Inc.
Project: Former Cameron Iron Works
Work Order: 0607317

Work Order Sample Summary

<u>Lab Sample ID</u>	<u>Client Sample ID</u>	<u>Matrix</u>	<u>Tag Number</u>	<u>Collection Date</u>	<u>Date Received</u>	<u>Hold</u>
0607317-01	MW-93	Water		7/18/2006 14:05	7/19/2006 09:45	<input type="checkbox"/>
0607317-02	MW-125	Water		7/18/2006 16:10	7/19/2006 09:45	<input type="checkbox"/>
0607317-03	FB-071806	Water		7/18/2006 16:30	7/19/2006 09:45	<input type="checkbox"/>
0607317-04	MW-70	Water		7/18/2006 17:05	7/19/2006 09:45	<input type="checkbox"/>
0607317-05	DUP-1	Water		7/18/2006 12:00	7/19/2006 09:45	<input type="checkbox"/>

e-Lab Analytical, Inc.

Date: July 20, 2006

CLIENT: ERM - Southwest, Inc.
Work Order: 0607317
Project: Former Cameron Iron Works
Lab ID: 0607317-01

Client Sample ID: MW-93
Collection Date: 7/18/2006 2:05:00 PM
Matrix: WATER

Analyses	Result	Qual	SQL	MQL	Units	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS			Method: SW8260			Analyst: PC	
1,1-Dichloroethane	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
1,1-Dichloroethene	U		0.00060	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
1,2-Dichloroethane	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Tetrachloroethene	0.10		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Trichloroethene	U		0.00070	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Vinyl chloride	U		0.00060	0.0020	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	92.5			70-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	89.3			72.4-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	91.0			71.2-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: Toluene-d8	85.3			75-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006

Qualifiers: U - Analyzed for but Not Detected S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
 J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits P - Dual Column results RPD > 40%
 B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank E - Value above quantitation range
 * - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level H - Analyzed outside of Hold Time

e-Lab Analytical, Inc.

Date: July 20, 2006

CLIENT: ERM - Southwest, Inc.
Work Order: 0607317
Project: Former Cameron Iron Works
Lab ID: 0607317-02

Client Sample ID: MW-125
Collection Date: 7/18/2006 4:10:00 PM
Matrix: WATER

Analyses	Result	Qual	SQL	MQL	Units	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS			Method: SW8260			Analyst: PC	
1,1-Dichloroethane	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
1,1-Dichloroethene	U		0.00060	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
1,2-Dichloroethane	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Tetrachloroethene	0.0050		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Trichloroethene	U		0.00070	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Vinyl chloride	U		0.00060	0.0020	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	94.0			70-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	88.3			72.4-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	92.9			71.2-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: Toluene-d8	80.2			75-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006

Qualifiers: U - Analyzed for but Not Detected S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
 J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits P - Dual Column results RPD > 40%
 B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank E - Value above quantitation range
 * - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level H - Analyzed outside of Hold Time

e-Lab Analytical, Inc.

Date: July 20, 2006

CLIENT: ERM - Southwest, Inc.
Work Order: 0607317
Project: Former Cameron Iron Works
Lab ID: 0607317-03

Client Sample ID: FB-071806
Collection Date: 7/18/2006 4:30:00 PM
Matrix: WATER

Analyses	Result	Qual	SQL	MQL	Units	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS			Method: SW8260			Analyst: PC	
1,1-Dichloroethane	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
1,1-Dichloroethene	U		0.00060	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
1,2-Dichloroethane	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Tetrachloroethene	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Trichloroethene	U		0.00070	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Vinyl chloride	U		0.00060	0.0020	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	93.5			70-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	95.6			72.4-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	91.9			71.2-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: Toluene-d8	88.5			75-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006

Qualifiers: U - Analyzed for but Not Detected S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
 J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits P - Dual Column results RPD > 40%
 B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank E - Value above quantitation range
 * - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level H - Analyzed outside of Hold Time

e-Lab Analytical, Inc.

Date: July 20, 2006

CLIENT: ERM - Southwest, Inc.
Work Order: 0607317
Project: Former Cameron Iron Works
Lab ID: 0607317-04

Client Sample ID: MW-70
Collection Date: 7/18/2006 5:05:00 PM
Matrix: WATER

Analyses	Result	Qual	SQL	MQL	Units	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS			Method: SW8260			Analyst: PC	
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.011		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.0029	J	0.00060	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
1,2-Dichloroethane	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.0063		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Tetrachloroethene	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Trichloroethene	0.0012	J	0.00070	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Vinyl chloride	U		0.00060	0.0020	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	94.3			70-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	95.1			72.4-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	92.6			71.2-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: Toluene-d8	87.7			75-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006

Qualifiers: U - Analyzed for but Not Detected S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
 J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits P - Dual Column results RPD > 40%
 B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank E - Value above quantitation range
 * - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level H - Analyzed outside of Hold Time

e-Lab Analytical, Inc.

Date: July 20, 2006

CLIENT: ERM - Southwest, Inc.
Work Order: 0607317
Project: Former Cameron Iron Works
Lab ID: 0607317-05

Client Sample ID: DUP-1
Collection Date: 7/18/2006 12:00:00 PM
Matrix: WATER

Analyses	Result	Qual	SQL	MQL	Units	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS			Method: SW8260			Analyst: PC	
1,1-Dichloroethane	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
1,1-Dichloroethene	U		0.00060	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
1,2-Dichloroethane	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	U		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Tetrachloroethene	0.11		0.00050	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Trichloroethene	U		0.00070	0.0050	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Vinyl chloride	U		0.00060	0.0020	mg/L	1	7/19/2006
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98.0			70-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	97.0			72.4-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	95.7			71.2-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006
Surr: Toluene-d8	91.5			75-125	%REC	1	7/19/2006

Qualifiers: U - Analyzed for but Not Detected S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
 J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits P - Dual Column results RPD > 40%
 B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank E - Value above quantitation range
 * - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level H - Analyzed outside of Hold Time

Test Code: 8260_TCL_W
Test Number: SW8260
Test Name: TCL Volatile Organics
Matrix: Aqueous **Units:** mg/L

**METHOD DETECTION /
 REPORTING LIMITS**

Type	Analyte	CAS	MDL	Unadjusted MQL
A	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	0.0005	0.005
A	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.0006	0.005
A	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	0.0005	0.005
A	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.0005	0.005
A	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.0005	0.005
A	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.0007	0.005
A	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	0.0006	0.002
S	Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	0	0
S	Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	0	0
S	Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	1868-53-7	0	0
S	Surr: Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	0	0

e-Lab Analytical, Inc.

Date: Jul 20 2006

CLIENT: ERM Southwest, Inc.

QC BATCH REPORT

Work Order: 0607317

Project: Former Cameron Iron Works

Batch ID: R39830

Instrument ID VOA2

Method: SW8260

MBLK		Sample ID: VBLKW-0719			Units: µg/L			Analysis Date: 07/19/06 11:18		
Client ID:		Run ID: VOA2_060719A			SeqNo: 907922		Prep Date:		DF: 1	
Analyte	Result	MQL	SPK Val	SPK Ref Value	%REC	Control Limit	RPD Ref Value	%RPD	RPD Limit	Qual
1,1-Dichloroethane	U	5.0								
1,1-Dichloroethene	U	5.0								
1,2-Dichloroethane	U	5.0								
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	U	5.0								
Tetrachloroethene	U	5.0								
Trichloroethene	U	5.0								
Vinyl chloride	U	2.0								
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	47.67	5.0	50	0	95.3	70-125		0		
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	41.87	5.0	50	0	83.7	72.4-125		0		
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	47.42	5.0	50	0	94.8	71.2-125		0		
Surr: Toluene-d8	48.94	5.0	50	0	97.9	75-125		0		

LCS		Sample ID: VLCSW-0719			Units: µg/L			Analysis Date: 07/19/06 10:27		
Client ID:		Run ID: VOA2_060719A			SeqNo: 907921		Prep Date:		DF: 1	
Analyte	Result	MQL	SPK Val	SPK Ref Value	%REC	Control Limit	RPD Ref Value	%RPD	RPD Limit	Qual
1,1-Dichloroethane	50.38	5.0	50	0	101	74.2-122		0		
1,1-Dichloroethene	53.28	5.0	50	0	107	75.8-122		0		
1,2-Dichloroethane	46.92	5.0	50	0	93.8	78.8-120		0		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	50.5	5.0	50	0	101	80-120		0		
Tetrachloroethene	48.35	5.0	50	0	96.7	80-120		0		
Trichloroethene	51.33	5.0	50	0	103	80-120		0		
Vinyl chloride	51.89	2.0	50	0	104	76.2-121		0		
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	45.72	5.0	50	0	91.4	70-125		0		
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	47.07	5.0	50	0	94.1	72.4-125		0		
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	47.06	5.0	50	0	94.1	71.2-125		0		
Surr: Toluene-d8	45.36	5.0	50	0	90.7	75-125		0		

ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits

O - Referenced analyte value is > 4 times amount spiked

S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits

P - Dual Column results percent difference > 40%

B - Analyte detected in assoc. Method Blank

U - Analyzed for but not detected

E - Value above quantitation range

CLIENT: ERM Southwest, Inc.
Work Order: 0607317
Project: Former Cameron Iron Works

QC BATCH REPORT

Batch ID: **R39830** Instrument ID **VOA2** Method: **SW8260**

MS		Sample ID: 0607275-04AMS				Units: µg/L			Analysis Date: 07/19/06 13:25	
Client ID:		Run ID: VOA2_060719A			SeqNo: 907924	Prep Date:		DF: 1		
Analyte	Result	MQL	SPK Val	SPK Ref Value	%REC	Control Limit	RPD Ref Value	%RPD	RPD Limit	Qual
1,1-Dichloroethane	49.71	5.0	50	0	99.4	74.2-122	0			
1,1-Dichloroethene	46.79	5.0	50	0	93.6	75.8-122	0			
1,2-Dichloroethane	50.17	5.0	50	0	100	78.8-120	0			
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	49.41	5.0	50	0	98.8	80-120	0			
Tetrachloroethene	47.29	5.0	50	0	94.6	80-120	0			
Trichloroethene	48.56	5.0	50	0	97.1	80-120	0			
Vinyl chloride	49.52	2.0	50	0	99	76.2-121	0			
<i>Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4</i>	<i>47.49</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>70-125</i>	<i>0</i>			
<i>Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene</i>	<i>45.84</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>91.7</i>	<i>72.4-125</i>	<i>0</i>			
<i>Surr: Dibromofluoromethane</i>	<i>47.12</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>94.2</i>	<i>71.2-125</i>	<i>0</i>			
<i>Surr: Toluene-d8</i>	<i>46.21</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>92.4</i>	<i>75-125</i>	<i>0</i>			

MSD		Sample ID: 0607275-04AMSD				Units: µg/L			Analysis Date: 07/19/06 13:50	
Client ID:		Run ID: VOA2_060719A			SeqNo: 907925	Prep Date:		DF: 1		
Analyte	Result	MQL	SPK Val	SPK Ref Value	%REC	Control Limit	RPD Ref Value	%RPD	RPD Limit	Qual
1,1-Dichloroethane	50.47	5.0	50	0	101	74.2-122	49.71	1.52	20	
1,1-Dichloroethene	51.56	5.0	50	0	103	75.8-122	46.79	9.7	20	
1,2-Dichloroethane	49.33	5.0	50	0	98.7	78.8-120	50.17	1.68	20	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	51.39	5.0	50	0	103	80-120	49.41	3.94	20	
Tetrachloroethene	47.36	5.0	50	0	94.7	80-120	47.29	0.144	20	
Trichloroethene	50.24	5.0	50	0	100	80-120	48.56	3.4	20	
Vinyl chloride	51.8	2.0	50	0	104	76.2-121	49.52	4.49	20	
<i>Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4</i>	<i>45.84</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>91.7</i>	<i>70-125</i>	<i>47.49</i>	<i>3.54</i>		
<i>Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene</i>	<i>44.42</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>88.8</i>	<i>72.4-125</i>	<i>45.84</i>	<i>3.15</i>		
<i>Surr: Dibromofluoromethane</i>	<i>47.33</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>94.7</i>	<i>71.2-125</i>	<i>47.12</i>	<i>0.429</i>		
<i>Surr: Toluene-d8</i>	<i>44.46</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>88.9</i>	<i>75-125</i>	<i>46.21</i>	<i>3.86</i>		

The following samples were analyzed in this batch:

0607317-01A	0607317-02A	0607317-03A
0607317-04A	0607317-05A	

ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits B - Analyte detected in assoc. Method Blank
 J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits U - Analyzed for but not detected
 O - Referenced analyte value is > 4 times amount spiked P - Dual Column results percent difference > 40% E - Value above quantitation range



10450 Stanchiff Rd. #210
Houston, Texas 77099
(Tel) 281.530.5656
(Fax) 281.530.5887

3352 128th Avenue
Holland, Michigan 49424
(Tel) 616.399.6070
(Fax) 616.399.6185

Page 1 of 1

Customer Information				Project Information				Parameter/Method Request for Analysis											
Purchase Order Work Order Company Name Send Report To Address City/State/Zip Phone Fax e-Mail Address				Project Name Project Number Bill To Company Invoice Attn Address City/State/Zip Phone Fax e-Mail Address				e-Lab Project Manager: <u>WPS7</u> e-Lab Work Order #: <u>WPS7</u> VOC (8260) List A VOC (8260) List B											
ERM Southwest, Inc. Greg Wheeler 15810 Park Ten Place Suite 300 Houston, TX 77084 (281) 600-1000 (281) 600-1001				Former Cameron Iron Works 0048422 ERM Southwest, Inc. Greg Wheeler 15810 Park Ten Place Suite 300 Houston, TX 77084 (281) 600-1000 (281) 600-1001				A VOC (8260) List A B VOC (8260) List B C D E F G H I J											
MW-93 MW-125 FB-071806 MW-70 Dup-1				Date: 7/18/06 Time: 1405 Matrix: Lwtv Pres.: HCl # Bottles: 3 Time: 1610 Time: 1630 Time: 1705 Time: 1200				A X B X C X D X E X F G H I J											
Andy Dickson Date: 7/19/06 Time: 830 Date: 7-19-06 Time: 1029				Shipment Method: <u>Picky</u> Required Turnaround Time: (Check Box) <input type="checkbox"/> Std 10 WK Days <input type="checkbox"/> 5 WK Days <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 24 Hour Other:				Results Due Date:											
Date: 7-19-06 Time: 1029 Date: 7-19-06 Time: 1029				Resubmitted by (Laboratory): Checked by (Laboratory):				Notes: <u>895</u> e-Lab Analytical Cooler ID: Cooler Temp: QC Package: (Check One Box Below) <input type="checkbox"/> Level II Std QC <input type="checkbox"/> Level III Std QC/Raw Data <input type="checkbox"/> Level IV SW846/CLP <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TRRP Check List <input type="checkbox"/> TRRP Level IV											
1-HCl 2-HNO ₃ 3-H ₂ SO ₄ 4-NaOH 5-Na ₂ S ₂ O ₈ 6-NAHSO ₄ 7-Other 8-4°C 9-5035				Reservative Key:				1. Any changes must be made in writing once samples and COC Form have been submitted to e-Lab Analytical, Inc. 2. Unless otherwise agreed in a formal contract, services provided by e-Lab Analytical, Inc. are expressly limited to the terms and conditions stated on the reverse.											

Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name ERMSW-HOU

Date/Time Received: 7/19/2006 9:45:00 AM

Work Order Number 0607317

Received by: PS

Checklist completed by: _____


Signature

7/19/06
Date

Reviewed by _____

HT 7/19/06
Initials Date

Matrix: W

Carrier name E-Lab

- Shipping container/cooler in good condition? Yes No Not Present
- Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler? Yes No Not Present
- Custody seals intact on sample bottles? Yes No Not Present
- Chain of custody present? Yes No
- Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? Yes No
- Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? Yes No
- Samples in proper container/bottle? Yes No
- Sample containers intact? Yes No
- Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? Yes No
- All samples received within holding time? Yes No
- Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance? Yes No
- Temperature(s)/Thermometer(s): 4.2c 002
- Water - VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VOA vials submitted
- Water - pH acceptable upon receipt? Yes No N/A

Adjusted? _____ Checked by _____

Login Notes: No trip blank was received.


Client contacted _____ Date contacted: _____ Person contacted _____

Contacted by: _____ Regarding: _____

Comments: _____

Corrective Action _____

W.O.# 0607317

	e-Lab Analytical, Inc.
	10450 Stancliff Rd., Suite 210
	Houston, Texas 77099
	Tel. 281.530.5656
	Fax. 218.530.5887

CUSTODY SEAL	
Date: <u>7/19/06</u>	Time: <u>8:30</u>
Name: <u>Andy Dickinson</u>	
Company: <u>ERM</u>	
	Seal Broken By: <u>AKT</u>
	Date: <u>7/19/06</u>